Ref #	Hits	Search Query	DBs	Default Operator	Plurals	Time Stamp
L1	3729	electrode adj sheet	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	OFF	2005/10/27 11:59
L2	123645	"310"/\$.ccls.	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	OFF	2005/10/27 11:59
L3	44	1 and 2	US-PGPUB; USPAT; USOCR; EPO; JPO; DERWENT; IBM_TDB	OR	OFF	2005/10/27 11:59

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/933,47	0	MCGRATH, DAVID STANLEY					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Brian T. Pe	endleton	2644					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SH WHII - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ion. period will apply and wil statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is no lowance except to	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposit	tion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 3,6-8,11 and 12 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3,6-8,11 and 12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from cor	sideration.						
Applicat 	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 January 2002</u> i Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ acce to the drawing(s) be correction is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	ut(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗍 Intensions Summary	PTO 442\					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	te of Dearences Cried (FTO-692) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date	8) 6B/08)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/933,470

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 6-8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abel in view of Horbach, US Patent 6,363,155 and further in view of Callahan, Jr. et al. Abel discloses a surround sound panner comprising a plurality of speakers 902-906 having a panning law 803 which varies the gain between speakers. The panning law is an amplitude based panning law. Abel also teaches that surround sound panning is used in motion picture applications (audio-visual production with a visual production occurring on a display surface). Abel does not disclose that the panning also involves delaying the audio stream transmitted to each speaker by an amount that varies along with the panning gain. Horbach suggests in column 1 lines 35-60, that amplitude panning only is insufficient for creating the illusion that a sound source is moving between loudspeakers. It was proposed that transit time differences were effective for localizing a sound source. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the panning law in Abel to include delaying the sound source to the loudspeakers, the delaying corresponding to the transit times required for the

Application/Control Number: 09/933,470

Art Unit: 2644

sound source to travel from each of the speakers, as suggested by Horbach, for the purpose of improving the realism of a moving sound source. The combination of Abel and Horbach does not disclose explicitly teach a plurality of at least three speakers along a periphery of the viewing audience. Nevertheless, Callahan discloses a surround sound processing apparatus for use with motion pictures (audio-visual production) comprising speakers L, LF_S, LR_S, R, RF_S, RR_S (three speakers along a periphery of the viewing audience) in figures 4 and 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use such a configuration to pan a sound source signal from the front to back direction for the purpose of creating a moving side that travels from or back to the listeners, which increases the perception of a virtual sound environment. Claims 3, 6, 7, 11, and 12 are met. As to claim 8, Official Notice is taken by the Examiner that it was well known in the art of spatial audio to include information of the processing of audio along with the audio stream, as for example, in video games, for the purpose of configuring an audio system to simulate an audio environment dynamically.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Smits, US Patent 6,125,115.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/933,470

Art Unit: 2644

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian T. Pendleton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2644

Bis. am

btp